BIDPA Working Paper 39

July 2014

Towards a Diversified Economy: A Preliminary Assessment of the Potential, Prospects and Challenges for Electricity Exports from Botswana

Margaret Sengwaketse



BOTSWANA INSTITUTE FOR DEVELOPMENT POLICY ANALYSIS

BIDPA Working Paper 39

July 2014

Towards a Diversified Economy: A Preliminary Assessment of the Potential, Prospects and Challenges for Electricity Exports from Botswana

Margaret Sengwaketse



BOTSWANA INSTITUTE FOR DEVELOPMENT POLICY ANALYSIS

BIDPA

The Botswana Institute for Development Policy Analysis (BIDPA) is an independent trust, which started operations in 1995 as a non-governmental policy research institution. BIDPA's mission is to inform policy and build capacity through research and consultancy services.

BIDPA is part-funded by the Government of Botswana.

BIDPA Working Paper Series

The series comprises of papers which reflect work in progress or limited research efforts, which may be of interest to researchers and policy makers, or of a public education character. Working papers may already have been published elsewhere or may appear in other publications.

Margaret Sengwaketse is a Research Fellow at the Botswana Institute for Development Policy Analysis

ISBN: 978-99968-0-263-8

© Botswana Institute for Development Policy Analysis, 2014

Disclaimer:

The views expressed in this document are entirely those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the official opinion of BIDPA.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknow	wledgementsiv
Abstra	ctiv
1.	Introduction
2.	Supply Factors
2.1	A Review of the Resource Base 1
2.2	Environmental and Regulatory Considerations
2.2.1	Environmental Issues
2.2.2	Regulatory and Institutional Framework for Coal-Powered Electricity Generation 8
2.3	Capacity and Infrastructure Development
2.4	Demand Factors
2.4.1	Domestic Demand Factors
2.4.2	External Demand Factors
2.4.3	Other Demand Factors: Alternative Sources of Electricity Generation
3.	Conclusions
Referen	nces

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank Dr. Tlhalefang, Prof. Grynberg, BIDPA research and library staff for their comments on an earlier version of this paper. All errors and omissions are the responsibility of the author.

Abstract

The paper assesses the prospects and challenges of coal-fired power exports in Botswana. Specifically, the study analyses how supply factors, that is, resource availability; environmental concerns; infrastructure and technology as well as demand factors are likely to affect the development of thermal power exports in Botswana. The study concludes that coal resources are sufficient to support exports of thermal power and that technology on coal-fired power plants is available, reliable and mature. However, environmental concerns associated with coal-fired power generation; the high costs of construction and maintenance of power plants; regional demand factors, including the increasing competition from renewable sources of energy are major factors in the feasibility of the development of coal-fired electricity exports in Botswana.

1. Introduction

There are several factors that make the study of the energy sector in general, and electricity sector in particular, relevant than it has ever been. In recent years, the energy sector has been in the liberalization agenda in the Southern African Development Community (SADC). In Botswana, estimates suggest that Botswana has very large inferred coal bed methane (CBM) resources. If exploited CBM presents prospects to generate electricity using a low carbon and low to medium cost source of power. Botswana also has one of Africa's largest coal resources estimated at 212 billion tonnes. In recent years, uranium deposits have been discovered in Northern Botswana. If these resources are developed for the purposes of electricity generation, Botswana may generate enough electricity for domestic requirements and possibly, for exports. While the resources that could be used to generate electricity are significant and varied, the study will focus on prospects of thermal power generation for export.

The focus on coal for electricity generation and export is motivated by the following factors. As discussed earlier, estimates suggest that, Botswana has significant reserves of coal. Secondly, Botswana already produces power, albeit at very low levels, for domestic power consumption and there are plans by the private sector to produce more power for domestic consumption. Third, production of thermal electricity is already in the Government of Botswana's diversification agenda through the coal roadmap. Further, while CBM provides an option of low carbon and low to medium cost source of energy and therefore merits studying, the commercial viability of CBM still remains uncertain despite the accelerated development in the United States of America (USA). While uranium is a relatively cheap source of energy, and likely to be a viable option of electricity generation for domestic consumption and export, public perceptions of the health and safety risks associated with nuclear following the Fukushima (Japan) nuclear accident means it presents a longer term prospect for electricity generation subject to increased consumer confidence.

The objective of the study is to assess prospects and challenges of coal-fired power exports in Botswana. Specifically, the study reviews supply and demand factors that are likely to affect electricity trade, environmental concerns, infrastructure and technology issues that are likely to have an impact on the production of thermal power for export.

2. Supply Factors

Ebinger et al. (2012) in their evaluation of the liquefied natural gas exports in the United States analysed the supply situation of natural gas based on three aspects; resource availability and production sustainability; policy, regulatory, and environmental issues; and, capacity and infrastructural considerations. This study adopts the same approach to assess supply side factors on prospects of coal-fired electricity exports in Botswana.

2.1 A Review of the Resource Base

The potential to export coal-fired power depends on whether there are adequate coal reserves to support power generation. Some studies and government reports have estimated the amount of coal resources in Botswana. According to the National Development Plan

(NDP) 9, Botswana coal reserves are estimated at 212 billion tonnes. If these estimates are proven, they would make Botswana a significant producer of coal. NDP 9 estimates that only 3.3 billion tonnes of Botswana's coal reserves are measured. The figure on measured coal resources is likely to have increased since exploration has intensified in recent years. However, it is unlikely to have increased significantly and measured coal resources moved anywhere closer to the 212 billion tonnes reserve estimate.

Table 1 below shows measured, indicated and inferred coal resources/reserves in Botswana by location. Inferred resources are when the tonnage, grade and mineral content can be measured with a low level of confidence. Indicated resources on the other hand, refers to a situation where sampling has been done and the estimate of the tonnage, grade and mineral content has been done at a reasonable level of confidence. Measured is defined as a situation whereby resources have been determined as an acceptable estimate with a high degree of confidence. According to table 1, only a small proportion of the coal resources have been ascertained with a high degree of certainty. Further, despite extensive exploration activity in the various locations, estimates on available coal resources have been established with a high degree of certainty in Morupule. Finally, estimates in table 1 suggest that the greatest concentration of coal resources is in the South Eastern coalfield. However, the degree of certainty on the estimates is still relatively low since a significant proportion of these resources are inferred.

Coalfield	Inferred	Indicated	Measured
Morupule Coalfield	15,574	2,706	2,846
Mmamabula Coalfield	5,005	20,215	494
Eastern Coalfield	17,809	339	None
South Eastern Coalfield	132,810	9,283	None

Table 1: Botswana's Coal Resources/Reserves (in Metric Tonnes)

Source: Ministry of Minerals, Energy and Water Resources, 2011

According to Grynberg (2012), a mineral resource assessment conducted by Meepong Resources (Pty) Ltd, a local subsidiary of Coal Investment Corp (CIC) in 2005 indicated that Mmamabula had an estimated 2.6 billion tonnes of measured coal resources. While estimates of coal resources specifically in the Mmamabula Coalfield vary, the figures suggest that there is a large coal resource in Botswana. Although there has been limited exploration in the Eastern and South Eastern Coalfields (Ministry of Minerals, Energy and Water Resources, 2011), estimates suggest that the two coalfields have potentially large resources of coal. Some of these coalfields include Mmamantswe (North of Gaborone) and Dutlwe (Kweneng District). If exploration activity intensified in these two largely unexplored coalfields and estimates of coal resources become relatively more definitive, Botswana has the potential to be a significant producer of coal for domestic power needs and export of coal and electricity.

In terms of quality, Botswana coal is generally classified as low energy bituminous coal due to high levels of ash. According to Mackenzie (2011), thermal coal quality is assessed in terms of specific energy; moisture and ash content; fixed carbon and total sulphur content.

The author indicates that the higher the energy content, the higher the value of coal per unit of mass; and, the lower the moisture and content of the coal, the better the quality of the coal. The author further explains that the higher the fixed carbon, the higher the energy content; whereas a high sulphur content in coal is not good for the environment as sulphur is converted to sulphur dioxide during combustion. Table 2 shows a comparison of Botswana coal with that of popular reference brands. It is evident in table 2 that in terms of energy content, Botswana coal is of relatively lower quality than the reference brands. However, with regard to high quality coal in Botswana, the difference with the other brands is not very large. In terms of ash and sulphur content, high quality coal in Botswana compared favourably with the other brands.

	Botswana (High)	Botswana (Low)	New Castle Benchmark	Richard's Bay Benchmark
Energy kcal/kg	6,000	5,400	6,322	6,300
Total moisture %	8	10	15 (max)	15 (max)
(ar)				
Inherent moisture %	4	5		
(ad)				
Ash % (ad)	13	20	14 (max)	16 (max)
Fixed carbon (ad)	58	50		
Total sulphur % (ad)	0.45	0.8	<0.75	<1.0

Table 2: A Comparison of the Quality of Botswana Coal and Other Brands

Source: Ministry of Minerals and Water Resources, 2011

Despite the large coal resources that exist in Botswana, a significant proportion of these resources are unexploited. Currently, Morupule Colliery is the only coal producer in Botswana. NDP 10 estimates indicated that Morupule Colliery has the capacity to produce about a million tonnes of coal per year. According to the NDP, one of the main reasons why Morupule Colliery is unable to produce at full capacity is depressed demand. Others suggest there are other reasons beside demand factors as to why Morupule Colliery is unable to produce at full capacity. For example, Mackenzie (2011) indicates that the mining methods being used limit resource recovery at Morupule Colliery.

The capacity of Morupule Colliery is being expanded to 3.2 million tonnes per annum (mtpa) to meet requirements for Morupule B thermal power station. Botswana Power Corporation (BPC) uses coal from Morupule Colliery to operate a power plant at Morupule. Before the expansion of the power station at Morupule (i.e. Morupule B), Morupule Power station (Morupule A) contributed about 24 per cent (120 MW) of the national power consumption of about 500 MW. Prior to the power shortages in South Africa in 2008, the balance of Botswana's electricity requirements were met by imports from Eskom in South Africa. Imports of electricity from South Africa have fallen significantly following the decision by Eskom to stop exporting power to Botswana from 2013.

The reduced electricity imports have prompted BPC to expand local power production to

meet domestic power needs. The Morupule power expansion project (Morupule B) was initiated to expand Botswana's generation capacity and substitute for imports from South Africa. During the first phase of the project, the power station will provide 600 MW (4x 150 MW) of electricity. NDP 10 indicates that feasibility studies of the second phase of the Morupule Power expansion project have been undertaken. It is expected that phase two of the power expansion project will provide an additional 600 MW of electricity. Upon completion of both phases of the expansion project, power generated at Morupule is expected to make Botswana self-sufficient and ensure energy security.

World Bank (2011) estimates that demand for electricity in Botswana will grow at an annual rate of about six percent and reach a peak of 850 MW by 2017 and 1,130 MW in 2026. Even with this growth in electricity demand, forecasts suggest Botswana has enough coal resources to satisfy domestic demand for power and also export electricity. Estimates from Mackenzie (2011) suggest that up to 30 metric tonnes per annum of coal are required to generate electricity for export.

In addition to the size of coal resources, the sustainability of the production of coal-fired power production depends on technological developments. According to the National Energy Board (2008), coal is a desirable source of electricity because the technology of coal-fired power generation is reliable and mature. In addition, there have been technological developments in power generation in recent years. These are often referred to as "Clean Coal Technologies" and according to the 2008 National Energy Board report, clean coal technology can be used to refer to state of the art conventional coal-fired generation facilities, or new methods of using coal. Some of the notable technological advancements in coal-fired power generation include the Supercritical-Pressure Pulverized Coal Combustion Technology; the Fluidized Bed Power Plant; and, the Integrated Gasification Combined Cycle (IGCC) technology. The National Energy Board (2008) indicates that a supercritical coal-fired plant is more efficient than the pulverized coal fired plant as it reduces fuel consumption and related green house gas (GHG) emissions by 18 per cent.

IGCC technology enhances plant efficiency, scrubs pollutants like sulphur and heavy metals from the fuel prior to burning, uses relatively less fuel, hence lower production of GHGs (National Energy Board, 2008). As IGCC is a new technology, there is uncertainty about the costs of its construction. According to the National Energy Board (2008), estimates indicate that the levelised unit costs of generating power using IGCC technology are 15 to 20 per cent higher than those of supercritical coal-fired technology.

It is evident from the discussion above that coal-fired technology has not stood still. To take advantage of the vast coal reserves that Botswana has will require an assessment of the costs of the different technological options; the inputs that would be needed to take advantage of this technology; and, the skills required to sustain production. Based on estimates by some institutions in the United States of America, Congress of the United States Budget Office (2012) indicates that construction costs of a super critical pulverized plant (per kilowatt hour of net output) without carbon capture technology range from \$1,637 to \$ 1,919 in 2010 dollars. The same report indicates that with CCS technology, the construction costs increase by up to 81 per cent. If Botswana is to use these new coal-fired power generation technologies to generate power for export, the cost estimates of power generation indicate

financial resources are likely to be an important consideration for the production of electricity. The availability of other inputs and skills to sustain production are also going to be very important of whether Botswana can take advantage of its large coal reserves to export electricity.

2.2 Environmental and Regulatory Considerations

2.2.1 Environmental Issues

GHG emissions are a major concern for coal-fired power generation. The higher carbon content of coal compared to, for example, natural gas implies that coal-fired power plants have higher GHG emissions than natural gas. The Canadian Energy Board (2008) estimates that depending on the plant technology, gas-fired power generation produces 40-50 per cent fewer emissions than a coal-fired plant to produce the same amount of electricity. Barnett and Reback (2012) estimate that conventional power plants emit, on average, just below 2000 pounds of CO₂ per Mega Watt hour (MWh) compared with just below 800 pounds of CO₂ per MWh (60 per cent below coal) for a natural gas combined-cycle power plant.

The debate on the need for countries to reduce carbon emissions has increased in recent years. In the United States, for example, the Environmental Protection Agency has tabled a Clean Power Plan Proposed Rule in June 2014. In view of the fact that power plants are the largest source of carbon dioxide emissions in the United States and constitutes a third of all the domestic greenhouse gas emissions (EPA, 2014), power plants are one of the main targets of the Clean Power Plan. A total of 1,000 fossil fuel fired power plants are covered by the proposed rule (EPA, 2014). Under the Plan, the EPA proposes a 30 per cent cut in carbon pollution from the power sector by 2030.

The debate and concerns on emission of green-house gases have not been limited to the developed countries. In 2005, South Africa for example, contributed 1.1 per cent of global green-house gas emissions and about 40 per cent of emissions in Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) (Pegels, 2009). In response to these significant emissions, South Africa produced two Long-Term Mitigation Scenarios in 2007. The mitigation scenarios outline different strategies of reducing green-house emissions in South Africa. The Government of Botswana has also acknowledged that climate change is a concern for development. For example, the NDP 10 mid-term review in 2011 indicated that emphasis of the remaining years of the national development plan would be on the development of a National Climate Change Policy and a Comprehensive Climate Change Strategy and Action Plan. These initiatives are aimed at creating an enabling environment for the effective implementation of measures to adapt and mitigate the effects of climate change in the Botswana economy.

It is evident from the examples above that the debate on climate change is no longer about what needs to be done in the future but is rapidly transforming into tangible responses by developed and developing countries alike to reduce the effects of emissions on the environment. As initiatives to regulate GHGs may be in place in some parts of the world in the next five years, it implies that as the debate on climate change intensifies and the legal environment around the world changes accordingly to take into account mitigation measures, prospects for development of coal-fired electricity exports in Botswana should take into account the developments in the debate and possible initiatives to reduce global emissions.

In an effort to mitigate the environmental concerns associated with coal-fired power generation, technology has been developed to reduce CO_2 emissions. The Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) technology is a set of processes and technologies that use specialized material to separate CO_2 from other gases when a fossil fuel is burnt (Congress of the United States Budget Office, 2012). In a CCS facility, CO_2 is captured at the plant and compressed into liquid; the compressed CO_2 is transported (usually through a pipeline) to a storage site; and, the CO_2 is stored underground in a porous rock formation (Congress of the United States Budget Office, 2012).

While CCS technology has the advantage of reducing CO_2 emissions, Congress of the United States Budget Office (2012) highlighted some issues that might undermine the uptake of CCS technology. The first is that the capture and compressing of the CO_2 requires a significant amount of energy. The Budget Office estimates indicate that capturing and compressing CO_2 reduces the net amount of energy available to customers from a power plant by between 15 per cent and 30 per cent. The implication is that in order to serve the same number of customers, a plant with CCS technology must be larger and use more coal to produce the same amount of electricity than one without.

The second disadvantage associated with CCS technology is that it is relatively expensive. According to the Congress of the United States Budget Office (2012), estimates suggest that the costs of capturing CO₂ accounts for about 90 per cent of additional costs needed to construct and operate a power plant with CCS technology. The range of estimates presented in Table 3 below indicate that plant construction costs of a power plant with CCS are between 61 per cent and 89 per cent higher than those of a plant without. Further, the estimates indicate that levelised costs of electricity for a plant with CCS are 70 per cent to 89 per cent higher than those of a plant without CCS. The levelised costs of electricity is a measure that takes into account all costs and financial assumptions over the lifetime of the project. These costs include capital expenses, operations and maintenance, costs of fuel and capital. Given the high construction and operation costs of power plants with CCS technology, costs of electricity generated from these plants is likely to be more expensive than that from a conventional plant. In addition to the high costs, the Congressional Budget Office Report suggests that the equipment that is required to capture and compress CO₂ in a CCS plant is complex and large. Over and above costs of capturing and compressing CO2, there are also costs associated with the transportation and storage of CO2. According to Parker and Folger (2010), the process of capturing and preparing the CO₂ for transport and storage is the most technologically challenging aspect of CCS technology.

Table 3: Estimates of Total Plant and Levelised Electricity Costs for New Coal-Fired Power Plants With and Without CCS Technology (in 2010 dollars)

	Supercritical Pulverised Coal Plants	Supercritical Pulverised Coal Plants	Supercritical Pulverised Coal Plants	Supercritical Pulverised Coal Plants
Carnegie Mellon				
University				
Without CCS	1,788	1,710	55.9	56.0
With CCS	3,237	3,234	97.3	100.8
Premium for CCS (%)	81	89	74	80
Electric Power				
Research Institute				
Without CCS	1,888	n/a	65.5	n/a
With CCS	3,138	n/a	111.5	n/a
Premium for CCS (%)	66	n/a	70	n/a
Global Carbon Capture				
and Storage Institute				
Without CCS	1,919	n/a	57.4	n/a
With CCS	3,464	n/a	101.8	n/a
Premium for CCS (%)	81	n/a	77	n/a
Massachusetts Institute				
of Technology				
Without CCS	1,734	1,669	53.1	54.6
With CCS	2,790	2,907	95.4	103.3
Premium for CCS (%)	61	74	79	89
National Energy				
Technology				
Without CCS	1,637	1,612	63.2	64.0
With CCS	2,895	2,924	107.7	111.3
Premium for CCS (%)	77	81	71	74

Source: Congress of the United States Budget Office, 2012

The discussion above indicates that CCS technology in coal-fired power plants demands significant technical capability. Skills shortage is a major challenge in Botswana (BIDPA and World Bank, 2006). The high technical demands of the CCS technology are likely to undermine Botswana's efforts to take advantage of the new environmentally friendly technology in the development of new coal plants. Combined with the relatively higher costs associated with constructing power plants with CCS, the technical skills requirements associated with CCS

present a major impediment for developing countries in general, and Botswana in particular, to use this technology.

The third concern associated with CCS technology is that it has only been used on a small scale in electricity generation and has not been widely adopted yet. Congress of the United States Budget Office (2012) indicates that six CCS-equipped demonstration power plants in the United States were at various stages of completion. The report further indicated that some demonstration projects in the European Union, Canada and Norway, and the United States have either been cancelled or put on hold. According to the report, the slow progress in the construction of CCS demonstration projects was partly a result of the slow rate at which cap-and-trade program and other policies aimed at reducing greenhouse gases are being implemented.

The slow pace in the implementation of CCS demonstration projects in the United States, Europe and other areas suggests that the adoption of this technology is likely to be even slower. Despite this slow pace in the implementation of demonstration projects, it is widely acknowledged that as long as fossil fuels are an important source of energy in economies, CCS technology will remain significant as a greenhouse gas reduction solution. The International Energy Agency (2013) has drawn a roadmap as part of mitigation strategies to reduce emissions. The roadmap identifies three goals to fulfill the IEA mitigation strategies. The goals include; to successfully demonstrate the CCS technology in at least 30 projects including coal and gas-fired power generation, gas processing, bioethanol, etc, by 2020; to routinely use CCS to reduce emissions in power generation and industry and store over 2000 metric tonnes of CO_2 by 2030; and, to use CCS to reduce emissions from all applicable processes in power generation and industrial application and store over 7000 metric tonnes of CO_2 per year by 2050 (International Energy Agency, 2013).

For very small developing countries with very little technical capabilities in CCS technology such as Botswana, the process of adopting this technology is likely to take much longer. This is because successful adoption of new technologies requires investment, education and skills (Mbirimi, 2010). Skills shortage is a major concern in Botswana. This poses a significant risk in the adoption of CCS technology. In addition, the adoption of policies that mitigate the effects of climate change might gain momentum, resulting in more countries adopting these policies. If this happens, economies that are dependent on fossil fuel-generated electricity or are considering the production of power using fossil fuels such as coal might be faced with an increasing price of electricity. Further, if standards on GHG emissions are adopted, new coal-fired facilities might require CCS technology. Since CCS technology is expensive and requires significant technical skills, requirements to build CCS-equipped power plants might push the costs of producing electricity further up and undermine Botswana's efforts to develop coal-fired electricity for exports.

2.2.2 Regulatory and Institutional Framework for Coal-Powered Electricity Generation

There are regulations and institutions that govern the development of energy and are likely to have an impact on the viability of electricity exports. This section discusses local and regional regulatory and institutional frameworks that have an impact on electricity generation and are likely to play a significant role in the viability of electricity generation for export.

2.2.2.1 Local Regulatory Framework and Institutions for Electricity Generation

The Ministry of Minerals, Energy and Water Resources, through the Energy Affairs Division performs the function of formulation, direction and coordination of national energy policy. BPC, a parastatal under the Ministry of Minerals, Energy and Water Resources is responsible for electricity generation and supply. BPC performs its electricity generation and supply role in accordance with the BPC Act. The Electricity Supply Act supports Botswana Government's commitment to have the private sector or independent power producers (IPPs) involved in the development of power stations in the country.

It appears that the private sector is already responding to the role of being producers of power. For example, African Energy Resources are, through the Sese Integrated Power Project, intending to take advantage of the fact that given the availability of coal, as a source of relatively cheap feedstock for electricity generation; their proximity to the transmission network that forms the backbone of the Southern African Power Pool (SAPP); and that shortage of electricity is likely to continue being a problem into the foreseeable future in Southern Africa and produce power (African Energy, 2013). Sese Integrated Power Project includes the design of an initial 300 MW power station and estimates suggest a portion of the project has enough coal to generate 2,400 MW of electricity for over 30 years (African Energy, 2013). Given their proximity to South Africa, it is likely that should Eskom allow international IPPs to feed into the South African electricity grid in 2019 as planned, Sese Integrated Power could be in a position to expand production to produce power for export in the region.

Other regulations that affect the energy sector in general include the Environmental Assessment Act of 2011 and Mines and Minerals Act of 1999. Prior to its review, the Environmental Assessment Act was referred to as the Environmental Impact Assessment. To facilitate its implementation the Environmental Assessment Regulations of 2012 have been developed. Since coal-fired power stations use coal as an input to electricity generation and coal is mined, the Mines and Minerals Act has provisions that affect the production of electricity. One of the provisions of the Mines and Minerals Act of 1999 stipulates that the validity of a mining licence is up to 25 years. This duration is subject to renewal through an application for renewal to the Minister. The Mines and Minerals Act also stipulates obligations regarding the environment. The provision indicates that the mineral concessions shall operate in a manner consistent with regulations and good mining practices that take into account the preservation of the environment, minimize and control waste or undue loss or damage to national and biological resources. According to the Mines and Minerals Act, it is a requirement for applicants for new mining licences or renewals of existing licences to prepare and submit a comprehensive environmental impact assessment as part of the project feasibility study report.

The NDP 10 midterm review indicates that there is no national climate change policy and strategy and that research capacity on climate change issues is limited. To rectify this, the review proposes that during the remainder of NDP 10, a National Climate Change Policy and a Comprehensive National Climate Change Strategy and Action Plan should be developed

to create an enabling environment for effective implementation of measures to adapt and mitigate climate change concerns.

It is unclear how the proposal contained in the review of NDP 10 will affect the production of electricity using coal. It is likely that the policy, strategy, and action plan on climate change would institute regulations with emphasis on the use of cleaner and environment friendly technologies in coal-fired power stations. As discussed above, the cleaner coal-fired power production technologies, specifically those with CCS technology, are expensive; require significant technical expertise and other resources to run and manage power plans, and these might push construction and operational costs of coal-fired power stations. For a skill deficit country such as Botswana, the technical requirements and significant financial resources needed to develop less polluting power stations might undermine efforts to exploit the abundant coal resources to export power.

2.2.2.2 Regional Regulatory and Institutional Framework for Electricity Production

These include Southern African Power Pool (SAPP); the SADC Protocol on Energy; the Regulatory Framework for Short Term Energy Market (STEM), the Day Ahead Market (DAM); and the Regional Electricity Regulatory Association (RERA).

The Southern African Power Pool (SAPP)

The objective of SADC is to promote regional integration. With regard to electricity trade, SADC has mandated SAPP to promote electricity trade among SADC member states. Created in August 1995, SAPP is a co-operative of 12 country member utilities. SAPP facilitates the development of electricity market in the Southern African region; ensures, through sound economic, environmental and social practices, the development of sustainable energy projects. SAPP's responsibility is limited to coordination of member interests because it does not own or operate generation plants and transmission networks within the region, and such generation plants and transmission networks are the responsibility of national governments and utilities (Peršut, et al., 2011).

Although SAPP's role is coordination and facilitation of regional energy cooperation Mbirimi (2010) highlights that membership to SAPP confers a number of advantages. The first is that, South Africa, the largest economy in the region, and therefore potentially the largest market for power, is actively involved in the regional power pool. Second, being under the auspices of SADC, SAPP provides a political framework for energy cooperation. Mbirimi (2010) argues that the fact that SAPP is one of the umbrella organizations under SADC is important because the success of the power pool will depend, to a large extent, on the political will and continued commitment to increased regional energy trade. Literature suggests that political will is one of the most significant determinants of regional trade. For example, Gippner (2010) indicated that strong opposition to electricity trade by politicians was one of the major barriers to regional energy trade in South East Asia.

Despite these advantages, SAPP faces several challenges. Mbirimi (2010) highlights two challenges that SAPP faces. The first is the ability of SAPP to raise adequate resources to finance investment in new generation capacity. Possible sources of funding include

international capital markets; international financial institutions and the private sector. However to complement these external sources of funds, there has to be some contribution from local sources. The power utilities in the Southern African region have operated at a loss and are not likely to be a local source of funds (Mbirimi, 2010). Further, capital markets in developing countries in general, and Southern Africa in particular, are not developed. That leaves one possible source of local funds, which is the national treasury. According to Mbirimi (2010), estimates by Africa Infrastructure Country Diagnostic (AICD) indicate that the financial resources required for investment in new generation capacity in countries such as Zimbabwe and the DRC might be up to six percent of Gross Domestic Product (GDP). These financial requirements are significant and might put considerable pressure on countries to balance the need for new generation capacity and other competing development needs in the economy. The second problem is with regard to distances involved between the source of power; for example, hydro power in Congo and the main market, South Africa. The distance between the source of power and the main markets presents problems regarding security and reliability of power transportation and the costs of constructing and extending transmission network. Again, given the limited capabilities of most countries in Southern Africa to mobilize domestic resources to generate and transmit electricity, these costs tend to be prohibitive.

One other challenge the SAPP faces is the need to reduce greenhouse emissions. This is not a very easy task due to the fact that 70 per cent of the electricity in the pool is produced from coal, a major polluter through the emission of greenhouse gases. Secondly, SAPP recognizes the need to increase renewable energy projects to reduce the problem of greenhouse gas emissions. However, these renewable technologies are relatively new and their costs are still very high, and given the fact that electricity tariffs in the pool generally do not reflect costs of producing electricity, power producers cannot recover the high costs of renewable technologies. Finally, different countries in the power pool are at different levels with regard to the implementation of environmental protection legislation, and this creates problems in adopting a common standard on measures to reduce greenhouse gases.

SADC Protocol on Energy

The SADC Protocol on Energy was signed in August 1996 with the objective of ensuring that sectoral and regional energy policies and programmes are harmonized with SADC-wide policies and programmes, and sector specific strategies in SADC. Guidelines on regional integration and cooperation in the electricity sector include; promotion of electricity trade through power pooling; promotion of integrated resource planning in the electricity sector through economies of scale, and optimal investment and sharing of benefits in an equitable manner; promotion of the development of common regional standards, rules and procedures governing generation, transmission and distribution of electricity.

Regulatory Framework of STEM and DAM

The short-term energy market is governed by a legal agreement and a set of trading and financial rules and participation in this market is open to all members of the SAPP and IPPs. The Day Ahead Market (DAM) offers a secure, effective and non-discriminatory trade in electricity and provides a neutral reference price. The objective of these SAPP markets is

to ensure regional resources are utilized optimally; facilitate the determination of a correct electricity price in the SAPP; and enable the interaction of demand and supply price signals.

The Regional Electricity Regulatory Association (RERA)

Consistent with the provisions of the SADC Protocol on Energy and the SADC Energy Cooperation Policy and Strategy, SADC established RERA in 2002. The purpose of RERA is to facilitate the harmonization of regulatory policies, legislation and standards and practices. In addition, RERA has to provide a framework that ensures cooperation among energy regulators in the region is effective.

2.3 Capacity and Infrastructure Development

One of the most important pre-requisites for cross-border electricity trade is investment in generation and transmission infrastructure. Success in the export of electricity therefore depends on the country's power infrastructure to support the generation, transmission and distribution of electricity. The costs of power generation only represent a component of the total cost of providing electricity. Where centralized grid is used, transmission and distribution costs can make a significant contribution to the total supply cost.

According to UN Energy/Africa (2008), 2006 World Bank estimates indicate that electricity connection costs for households range from US\$200 per connection in peri-urban areas with an existing grid to US\$1,500 per connection in areas such as villages which are remote from an existing grid. The report further indicates that costs for new generation capacity range from US\$700 per MW for electricity generated from gas to US\$1,500 for coal-generated electricity. Further, World Bank estimates indicate that a 200Kv transmission line can cost US\$300 per kilometer.

As discussed earlier, estimates suggest construction costs of a new supercritical pulverized coal plant (without CCS technology) range between US\$1,637 and US\$1,919 per kilowatt of net electricity output. The same estimates suggest that costs of a similar power plant increase by up to 81 per cent if the power plant is equipped with CCS technology. As costs for African projects tend to be relatively higher than in other countries due to the need to import equipment, import levies associated with imported products and higher transport costs in the domestic market (IRENA, 2012), it is likely that construction costs of a thermal power station in Botswana are much higher than the estimates provided above. IRENA (2012) suggest that gaps in infrastructure, engineering and institutional capacity can raise project costs even further. The high costs associated with the importation of equipment and costs resulting from human and institutional capacity gaps are likely to significantly raise costs of construction of coal-fired coal plants in Botswana and undermine efforts to develop thermal power exports.

In addition to the costs associated with importation of equipment and human capacity gaps, the success of the development of thermal power exports will depend on maintenance and operation costs. Estimates suggest these costs may be significant. For example, according to World Bank (2009) (cited in Grynberg, 2012), construction costs of a 600 MW thermal power facility in Botswana ranged between US\$1.2 billion and US\$ 1.4 billion. The same report

indicates that operation and maintenance costs of thermal power plants constitute 15 per cent of total costs and fuel costs, 35 per cent. The costs estimates discussed above indicate that in addition to construction costs, costs associated with the importation of equipment, human capacity gaps as well as maintenance and operation are significant and therefore, these additional costs will be an important factor in the feasibility of the development of thermal power exports in Botswana.

Although generation, transmission and distribution costs estimates discussed above vary, it is clear from the discussion above that cost for producing coal-fired electricity are significant. The implication is that the availability of funds to generate and transmit electricity will be an important determinant of Botswana's ability to exploit coal to produce electricity for export. Mbirimi (2010) identifies three possible sources of funding for energy projects; external donor funds, domestic and international capital markets, and, financial resources generated by power utilities. According to Eberhard, et al., (2008) power utilities in Africa have contributed very little or nothing at all to capital costs of power generation and have not even been able to generate adequate financial resources to finance their operating costs and have depended, to a large extent, on subsidies from their governments or donors. The report further indicates that although official development assistance has contributed to investment in the power sector in Africa, investment was much lower than what was needed to keep pace with development and increase access to electricity. Private investment in the power sector in SSA has been, on average, US\$300 million per year over the last decade (Eberhard, et al., 2008). Further, the authors indicate that during the last decade, external flows i.e. aid and private investment to the power sector were about 0.1 per cent of GDP.

Unless the situation on external investment flows into the power sector changes, it might be difficult for Botswana to mobilize resources from external sources to develop thermal power exports. During the 2014/2015 financial year, the Ministry of Minerals, Energy and Water Resources received the largest share P3.55 billion representing 29 per cent of the development budget. Major projects included the construction of the North-West transmission line, Morupule A and B power generation projects and the Zimbabwe, Zambia, Botswana and Namibia transmission project. The significant financial resources committed to the energy sector are mainly for the generation and transmission of power for domestic needs. Given the competing domestic needs, it might be a major challenge to use the government budget to finance power generation, transmission and distribution projects to facilitate exports of coal-fired power.

Despite the high costs of power generation, some recent developments suggest the development of power exports in Botswana might be feasible. The first is that, the Zimbabwe, Zambia, Botswana and Namibia (ZIZABONA) interconnection transmission project has been identified as a priority project and endorsed by SAPP. ZIZABONA is trans-boundary infrastructure development and regional integration project that is aimed to alleviate transmission congestion through the construction and operation of new high-voltage transmission facilities that will connect power utilities in Zambia, Zimbabwe, Botswana and Namibia. The project will also serve as a western transmission corridor in Southern Africa and will facilitate electricity trade between the utilities. SADC Energy ministers have approved priority projects. Development Bank South Africa (DBSA) has

made a commitment to contribute US\$50 million; Agence Française de Développement (AFD), US\$30-50 million; European Investment Bank (EIB), US\$30-50 million; and, Africa Development Bank US\$62.4 million to the project (Trade Mark South Africa, 2012). The Government of Botswana has also demonstrated its commitment to financing the project. During the 2014/2015 budget, the Government of Botswana announced that it has set aside P50 million for the project. Once completed, the ZIZABONA project is expected to alleviate transmission congestion and may be a key driver to regional electricity trade.

Second, although thermal power exports are expected to face stiff competition from renewable sources of energy, upfront costs for hydropower projects are high and gestation periods for such projects are long. This presents some scope for the development of thermal power exports, but the government of Botswana has to grasp this opportunity quickly as any further delays might deem coal-fired power exports unviable as costs in renewable technologies are declining rapidly. Finally, the private sector in Botswana seems to be keen on investing in power generation and is already on the path to take advantage of power shortages in the domestic as well as regional market. For example, Jindhal Botswana has announced plans to commence construction of a 600 MW power plant in Mmamabula. As mentioned earlier, Sese Integrated Power Project wishes to take advantage of the abundance of coal in Sese, the proximity of transmission network of SAPP and the regional shortage of electricity to build a 300 MW power station. Given the abundance of coal in the region, there is scope to expand the Sese Integrated Power Project by generating a further 2,400 MW of electricity.

2.4 Demand Factors

These include both domestic and external demand factors for coal-fired electricity exports and are discussed in turn below.

2.4.1 Domestic Demand Factors

The potential for thermal power exports in Botswana will compete with two important markets for the consumption of coal: domestic demand for coal-fired power; and possible industry sector needs for coal, such as conversion of coal to liquids.

Peršut et al. (2011) estimate that peak demand for power in Botswana will reach 928 MW in 2015 and increase further to 1470 MW in 2030. According to Eberhard et al. (2011) Botswana's energy demand was 3,660 Giga watt hours (GWh) in 2008 with a peak load of 500 MW. Further, Eberhard et al. (2011) projected that energy demand in Botswana would grow by six percent per annum and reach 5,300 GWh (peak load of 850 MW) in 2017 and 6,890 GWh (peak load of 1,130 MW) in 2026. The proportion of the mining, commercial and residential sectors in the Botswana energy demand estimates stood at 50, 20 and 25 percent respectively (Eberhard et al., 2011). Bleiwas (2011) in the 2011 United States Geological Survey (USGS) report estimated electricity requirements for mining projects in Africa which had the potential to commence production by 2019 using the following criteria: on-going or imminent construction; acquisition of capital for mining project development; results from exploration activities; and, other data such as annual reports, and press releases. Based on this criterion, USGS (2011) estimated that in Botswana, annual electricity consumption for

mines and facilities that are likely to start production in 2019 was 260GWh. The estimates were based on five mining sites.

Projections on Botswana's energy demand suggest that in the absence of alternative sources of power generation, domestic demand for thermal power is expected to increase as generation capacity expands to meet increasing domestic energy demand. Efforts to increase domestic supply of coal fired electricity are already evident as the construction of Morupule B 600 MW coal plant is nearing completion and there are plans to build a further 600 MW power plant during phase two of power expansion at Morupule. The expansion of generation capacity for domestic energy needs would increase coal demand for power generation. Projections by Mackenzie (2011) indicate that coal demand for domestic power generation could reach up to three metric tonnes per annum (Mtpa).

In addition to domestic demand for coal for electricity production, another important possible consumer of coal is the industrial sector. Coal is a primary input in the production of cement and conversion of coal to liquids. While these two uses of coal are likely to compete with electricity generation, Mackenzie (2011) advances two reasons why these are not likely to be a major threat to coal power generation. The first reason is that despite growth in demand for cement in Africa, transport costs for cement over long distances are very high. Although transport costs are a major factor in cement trade, some trade between countries is still possible over relatively shorter distances and efficient logistics systems. For example, Botswana still meets some of its demand for cement through imports, specifically from South Africa. As cement is a low value product, the prohibitive transportation costs for cement are likely to act as a disincentive for Botswana to produce cement for export. As a result, cement produced in Botswana is likely to be consumed locally. Mackenzie (2011) estimates that domestic demand for coal for cement production would be about 50 kilo tonnes per annum. Given these estimates, the demand for coal for cement production is too small to pose any serious threat to the production of thermal power for export.

The second reason is that while South Africa is a major consumer of oil products and demand for liquid fuels is growing in Botswana, a technology used to produce diesel from coal namely the Fischer Tropsch process, also produces naptha, a product with no demand in Southern Africa. Further, the Fischer Tropsch and MTG, a gasoline producing technology are both capital intensive and conversion of coal to liquids is only possible at very large scale. Estimates suggest that prospects for increased coal use in the production of cement and conversion of coal to fuel are small. Based on these reasons, it is unlikely that the production of cement from coal and the conversion of coal to liquids will be significant competitors of the use of coal for production of electricity for export.

2.4.2 External Demand Factors

As discussed above, estimates indicate that Botswana has significant coal resources and that once rail infrastructure to the coast is built, Botswana could become a participant in the global coal markets. While the export of coal is one of the most important aspects of the development of the coal industry in Botswana, and is associated with significant expected economic benefits, an assessment of demand factors for coal is beyond the scope of this paper. The discussion on demand factors in this section is limited to an assessment of demand factors for thermal electricity exports. As electricity generation and transmission costs can be significant, it is reasonable to expect Botswana's prospects for export electricity would be biased towards a regional market.

Mbirimi (2010) identifies three determinants of demand for power in the SADC region. These include, market demand due to economic and population growth and urbanization; suppressed demand, evident in power cuts and rationing; and, social demand for power to meet the goal of widening access to electricity. Economic and population growth are some of the drivers for energy demand growth, and have been increasing in the SADC region. Estimates by DB (2010) and IMF (2010) (cited in IRENA, 2012) suggest annual GDP growth rates of four per cent between 2008 and 2015; 3.5 per cent between 2015 and 2030; and, three per cent between 2030 and 2050 in Southern Africa. Population growth is one of the key drivers of electricity consumption. Electricity demand in Africa is estimated to grow at an average four to six per cent per annum between 2008 and 2030. According to IRENA (2012), electricity demand in developing countries tends to grow as fast as GDP growth, estimates suggest that in Southern Africa, electricity demand growth will match GDP growth at three to four per cent per year between now and 2050 (DB, 2010 and IMF, 2010 cited in IRENA, 2012).

Urbanisation is one of the major determinants of electricity demand. Projections indicate that by 2050, urbanization rates in Africa will increase by 20 per cent. In Southern Africa, United Nations estimates (cited in IRENA, 2012) indicate that the share of urban population in total population in 2008 was 44 per cent; and would increase to 47 per cent in 2015; 55 per cent in 2030; and, reach 66 per cent in 2050. The rising urbanization rates in Southern Africa suggest that demand for electricity in the region will increase and that presents an opportunity for Botswana to produce electricity for export in the region.

Mbirimi (2010) suggests that the growth in the demand for power in the region presents both opportunities and risks. The author posits that the opportunity exists because the growth in demand for power in SADC region facilitates a regional approach to the expansion of generating capacity and energy supply. According to Mbirimi (2010), risks associated with the demand for power exist because, as domestic demand for electricity increases, individual economies can respond to a growth in electricity demand by adopting a relatively cheaper and quicker option, which is expanding domestic generation capacity. In South Africa, for example, estimates suggest that energy demand will double in the next 15 years (Pegels, 2009). This immense demand pressure might prompt the South African economy to increase domestic demand supply capacity. Mbirimi (2010) posits that the construction of Kusile and Medupe coal-fired power plants in South Africa could be a possible manifestation of expansion of domestic power generation capacity in response to domestic power demand pressures. As growth in electricity demand has created opportunities for the development of regional power trade, Mbirimi (2010) suggests that the response to this opportunity should be prompt and firm.

The discussion on regional demand factors above suggests that given the growth in demand for electricity in SADC and increasing urbanization rates in Southern Africa, opportunities for the development of electricity exports in Botswana exist. However, challenges and uncertainties on the demand side remain. The expansion of domestic power generation in South Africa, the largest potential export market for Botswana's electricity exports, may dampen demand for electricity imports from the region in general and Botswana in particular. Botswana's success in the development of electricity exports would depend, at least to some extent, on the speed with which the country can grasp the potential created by regional approach to power generation capacity.

2.4.3 Other Demand Factors: Alternative Sources of Electricity Generation

In addition to domestic demand and regional demand factors discussed above, the development of coal-fired power exports in Botswana is likely to compete with other sources of electricity. These alternative sources of electricity generation include in particular, renewable sources of energy such as hydro power, solar and wind power. The threat to coalfired power generation emerges from two fronts. The first is that concerns about climate change have intensified the debate on the emission of greenhouse gasses and stimulated the development of renewable energy technologies and the possibility of legislation in future to curb greenhouse gas emissions. Two developments suggest that efforts to tackle concerns on climate change are already taking place in Southern Africa. The first is that, as part of the Copenhagen Accord of 2009, South Africa committed to taking mitigation action that would enable it reduce emissions by 34 per cent and 42 per cent, using the Business as Usual growth in emissions path by 2020 and 2025 respectively (Peršut et al., 2011). According to Peršut et al (2011), the commitments South Africa made on emission mitigation action include emission reduction targets from the power sector. South Africa's Integrated Resource Plan of 2010 which was approved by the South African Cabinet in 2011 intends to reduce carbon dioxide emissions from 912 g/kWh to 600g/kWh by 2030 (Haffejee, 2013). In addition, probably as part of the emission mitigation plan, South Africa's Integrated Resource Plan has committed to diversifying the electricity generation mix and according to KPMG (2012) forecasts an increase in the share of renewable energy in South Africa's generation mix to 17 per cent by 2030.

The second threat to coal-fired power generation is that capital costs of levelised cost of electricity for renewable energy have come down over time and would continue to decline in future due to learning effects (IRENA, 2012). Table 4 presents capital cost projections of renewable energy options by technology. The cost estimates below, do not include equipment transportation costs and import tariffs. If transportation costs for equipment are considered, the costs for renewable energy technologies in locations such as landlocked countries, where transport costs are significant, might be higher than indicated in table 4. It is evident from table 4 that with the exception of biomass combustion, the decline in the capital cost of renewable energy sources is significant. If the costs of renewable energy sources continue to decline as suggested by the estimates below, the development of coal-fired power stations could face increasing competition from renewable sources of energy.

(USD/kWh)	2010	2015	2030	2050
Solar PV (utility-scale)	3,000-4,000	2,850-3,000	2,200-2,450	1,800-2,100
Solar PV (Home System)	5,000-6,000	4,500-5,700	3,600-4,100	2,200-3,500
Solar CSP (with 8 hour storage)	8,500	6,000-6,500	4,200-5,100	3,000-4,400
Wind (2 MW turbine)	1,750	1,700-1,800	1,400-1,700	1,100-1,300
Biogas engine (including digestion)	2,000	1,800	1,500-1,700	1,200-1,500
Biomass gasification	2,000	1,800	1,500-1,700	1,200-1,500
Biomass combustion	1,250	1,250	1,250	1,250
Hydro	3,000	2,700-2,900	2,300-2,800	2,000-2,700
Geothermal	4,000	3,600-3,900	3,000-3,250	2,400-3,000

 Table 4: Renewable Capital Cost Projections by Technology, 2010 to 2050

Source: IRENA, 2012

It seems competition from renewable power generation technologies is steadily increasing. Estimates by GWEC (2011) and Sun and Wind Energy (2011) (cited in IRENA, 2012), indicate that hydropower generation constitutes the largest proportion in terms of existing power generation capacity, new capacity and forecast suggest that the generation capacity of wind and solar energy will be significant in the future. Platts (2011) (cited in IRENA, 2012) indicates that total hydropower capacity in Africa was about 26 GW. Part of this untapped hydropower potential is in Southern Africa namely the Grand Inga in the Democratic Republic of Congo.

IRENA (2012) highlights the following facts about the Grand Inga hydropower project. The first is that, it is estimated that the Grand Inga project has the potential to generate 44 gigawatts of hydropower and currently has installed capacity of 1,775 MW. Secondly, the project is divided into three phases. Phase 1, has a total installed capacity of 351 MW and phase II, 1,424 MW. The operating capacity of both phase I and II currently stands at 875 MW due to inadequate maintenance in existing plants. Third, a new project, Inga III dam, has a proposed capacity of between 3.5 to 5 GW and is at design stage. Estimates indicate that the cost of Inga III dam would range between US\$8 billion and US\$10 billion, i.e. US\$1,300 to US\$2,000/KW. The project includes the construction of high voltage transmission lines to supply power to Zambia, Zimbabwe, South Africa and the Republic of Congo (Brazzaville). If completed, Inga III would be the largest hydropower project in Africa and the fifth largest in the world. In addition to the Grand Inga project, hydropower potential exists in other parts of Southern Africa. For example, Angola has hydropower potential of 18 GW and Mozambique, 12 GW (IRENA, 2012).

Hydropower is not the only possible threat to thermal power generation. The potential for solar photovoltaic (PV) in Africa is very large and has prospects for growth since it offers an excellent option for off-grid electricity generation (IRENA, 2012). With the PV technology, panels use silicone to convert solar radiation into electricity. The other technology for producing electricity from solar radiation is the concentrating solar power (CSP). CSP technology uses mirrors to concentrate solar energy for heating fluids. The energy from the heat produces steam which in turn produces energy using turbines. According to Pegels (2009), solar energy is the renewable energy with the greatest potential in South Africa and 1.25 per cent of the 194,000 km² of total area with high radiation in South Africa can produce 80 GW of electricity, enough to meet the projected demand of South Africa in 2025. IRENA (2012) makes the following observations regarding wind energy. The first observation is that despite a very small installed capacity of wind power in Africa in general, South Africa is poised to be a significant producer of wind energy due to the introduction of a bidding system. Second, the Integrated Resource Plan estimates to have installed 8.4 gigawatts of wind power capacity by 2030 and as part of the initiatives to reach that target, has already approved bids including 634 MW of wind energy in 2011 and based on bids held by the Government, plans to produce an additional 2,209 MW of renewable energy including wind power in the next two years.

While the discussion above is specific in terms of South African initiatives on renewable energy, the South African energy policy tends to encourage the participation of IPPs and the development of renewable energy sources. The commitment to these two aspects is demonstrated in the following policy decisions outlined in Haffejee (2013). The first is that the Government produced a White Paper on Energy in 1998. One of the proposals of the White Paper was the liberalization of the power sector. As a result, in 2001, cabinet proposed a 70/30 split between power generated by Eskom and IPPs. Secondly, a White Paper on Renewables was developed in 2004 and proposed renewable energy targets. In addition, the Government of South Africa has displayed commitment to a Green Economy that is accompanied by localization and job creation. The third initiative is that ministers in South Africa are determined to produce 3,725 MW of electricity from renewable energy IPPs before 2019. Finally, the Integrated Resource Plan of 2010 has set a 17,800 MW target from renewable sources of energy by 2030.

As part of the national measures to support private sector investment in renewable energy and other clean technologies and discourage investments in fossil fuel technologies, South Africa included a ZAR 0.02/kWh levy on non-renewable electricity in 2009 which formed part of the electricity price increase endorsed by the National Energy Regulator of South Africa (Nersa) (Pegels, 2009). According to the author, proposals of the Long-Term Mitigation Scenario (LMTS) in South Africa included an escalating tax on greenhouse emissions which would increase from ZAR 100 per tonne of carbon dioxide (or ZAR 0.102/kWh) in 2008 to ZAR 750 (ZAR 0.765/kWh) in 2040. The estimates on the levy on greenhouse emissions were based on the assumption that the production of 1MWh of coal-fired electricity emitted just over one tonne of carbon dioxide (Pegels, 2009).

One of the most important determinants of Botswana's export of electricity is strategies that Eskom, South Africa's largest producer of power, adopts in its effort to meet South Africa's demand for electricity. CIC Energy had proposed to develop 1,200 MW coal-fired power plant at Mmamabula. CIC had planned to export 75 per cent of the power it would produce at Mmamabula to South Africa and sell the remainder to BPC. However, Eskom, the main buyer of Mmamabula, based on its revision of demand forecast stated that it would only require additional base-load generation capacity from 2018 under the assumption that this additional power needs would be met by both local and regional IPPs.

Being the most important potential market for Botswana's electricity exports, the rapid growth in renewable energy in the generation mix in South Africa in accordance with the Integrated Resource Plan and other initiatives and policies in the South African energy sector outlined above, these developments pose a serious threat to Botswana's prospects to develop thermal power exports. Electricity demand figures discussed in the previous section suggest that South Africa is an important determinant of the feasibility of the development of electricity exports in Botswana. Although South African energy initiatives encourage the participation of IPPs, its commitment to the development of renewable energy sources suggest that South Africa's demand for electricity from independent producers of power is likely to come from renewable sources. These developments in South Africa's energy policy are likely to weaken Botswana's prospects to produce power for export to South Africa. Further, the discussion above indicates that South Africa is already imposing levies on electricity from non-renewable sources. The combined effect of an increase in prices of electricity produced from fossil fuels such as coal and the rapidly declining costs of renewable energy is likely to make it extremely difficult for coal-fired electricity to compete with electricity from renewable sources.

In addition, the hydropower potential in the Democratic Republic of Congo and Angola, once developed, will be a threat to the more polluting coal-fired power stations that Botswana wants to take advantage of to produce electricity for exports. Thermal power exports will have to face stiff competition from these renewable energy sources. Despite these threats, there exists some opportunities for Botswana, albeit for a limited time period to develop its thermal power export sector. The first opportunity is that, upfront costs for the development of hydropower projects are high and gestation periods for such projects are long. Secondly, the market for renewable energy technologies is relatively young. This is likely to result in higher volatility in the market and increase risk (Pegels, 2009). The author further indicates that as the renewable energy market is young, most of its technologies are also young and therefore there exists some uncertainty on their use. Finally, as most, if not all, of these technologies depend on imported equipment, they therefore have to incur transportation costs as well as duties that are often levied on imports (IRENA, 2012). These additional costs are likely to push the costs of these technologies further and create a disincentive for the uptake of renewable energy sources. In addition, inadequate infrastructure and technical skills, for example, engineering skills and limited institutional capacity are also likely to raise costs even further (IRENA, 2012). This is likely to create a window of opportunity for the development of coal-fired power for export in Botswana. However, as costs of these technologies are decreasing rapidly, the opportunity might only exist for a very limited time period.

In summary, the intensification of the debate on climate change might prompt economies to adopt legislation in future which mitigate the effects of climate change. If the legislation raises the costs of generating electricity through fossil fuel, this presents a threat to prospects of using fossil fuels in general and in the case of Botswana, coal to generate electricity and the county's potential to generate thermal power for export. The other threat on Botswana's capacity to use its abundant coal resources to generate electricity for export emerges from the declining costs of renewable energy technologies. Should this decline continue as estimates suggest, coal-fired electricity generation would face stiff competition from these relatively cleaner sources of electricity. The implication of the fall on the costs of renewable energy on a country planning to use coal to generate electricity for exports is that the availability of relatively cheaper and cleaner energy may affect the demand for coal-fired electricity in the region and undermine Botswana's efforts to use its abundant coal resources to produce electricity for export. However, given the uncertainty surrounding renewable energy technologies and other risks associated with young renewable technologies, it might take a while before these become a major threat to coal-fired electricity generation.

3. Conclusions

The study makes the following conclusions: Although estimates vary, figures suggest that there are significant coal resources in Botswana, and these are sufficient to produce power for both domestic requirements and export. However, a large proportion of these resources are not exploited. Technology in coal-fired power plants is available, reliable and mature. These technologies are more efficient and use relatively less fuel than conventional plants and also produce less greenhouse gases. These technologies present an opportunity for Botswana to exploit coal resources for power production in a relatively less polluting manner. To take advantage of this opportunity, Botswana needs to consider the availability of technical skills. However, shortage of skills has been a major challenge in Botswana and this might affect prospects for power production. Further, the costs of the technologies are high and other requirements such as inputs to run and manage the power plants are significant.

GHGs are a major global concern and since coal-fired power generation is a major polluter, pressure to build power stations which reduce emissions will increase as the debate on greenhouse gas emissions intensifies. New technologies that use carbon capture and storage technology present a possible solution to environmental concerns but so far have not been used in anything other than demonstration plants. However, carbon capture and storage technology is expensive; has great technical demands, and, the technology is not widely available yet. If the current trend on initiatives that mitigate the effects of greenhouse gas emissions intensify, new power plants might require carbon capture and storage technology, pushing costs of electricity production up. In addition the technology is technically demanding, and is likely to put considerable pressure on skills.

The costs of electricity generation, transmission and distribution are significant. Botswana's success in the development of electricity for export would depend on the availability of, among others, financial resources. Therefore, government should be committed to mobilizing these resources. Trends suggest that aid and private investment in the energy sector in SSA has been limited. There are some positive developments in private sector investment in energy projects such as Jindhal Botswana's plans to construct a new power station. If trends in the flow of investment continue, it might be a major challenge to use external resources to finance the development of energy exports.

Estimates on electricity demand suggest that demand for electricity is growing in the region. These figures suggest that an opportunity exists for Botswana to produce electricity for export in the region. But challenges and uncertainties for power exports remain. For example, the region has significant renewable energy potential but in geo-politically stable environments. In addition, costs of renewable sources of energy are decreasing rapidly. If these trends continue, thermal power exports will face stiff competition from renewable energy sources. Since upfront costs for hydropower projects are high and gestation period long, this presents a window of opportunity to develop thermal power exports. However, the Government of Botswana has to take advantage of the opportunity firmly and in a timely manner.

South Africa plays a key role in the feasibility of Botswana's electricity exports. South Africa has liberalized its electricity market to include the participation of IPPs and at the same time has consistently pursued a policy of increasing the generation of power from renewable energy sources. In addition, Botswana and South Africa signed a Memorandum of Agreement on Cooperation in the Field of Energy. Given the increasing costs of mining coal in South Africa it is possible that South Africa wants to use the Agreement to import coal for domestic production of electricity rather than import electricity from Botswana. Based on this bias towards renewable energy and the possibility that South Africa might, through the Memorandum of Agreement on Energy, be more interested in Botswana's coal rather than electricity exports, it is difficult to envisage South Africa as a potential market for Botswana's thermal power exports. These developments are likely to thwart efforts to develop a viable thermal power exporting sector in Botswana. In addition, should South Africa pursue self-sufficiency in electricity generation as evidenced by the development of Medupe and Kusile projects, prospects for Botswana's electricity exports will be weakened.

References

African Energy, (2013), 'Sese Integrated Power Project', [online http://www.africanenergyresources. com, accessed, 27/3/2014]

Barnett, B., and Reback, S., (2012), "The Twilight of Coal-Fired Power? The EPA's New Standards for Greenhouse Gases", Bloomberg Government.

Bleiwas, B., (2011), 'Estimates of Electricity Requirements for the Recovery of Mineral Commodities, with Examples Applied to Sub-Saharan Africa,' Open File Report 2011-1253, U.S. Department of Interior and U.S. Geological Survey

Botswana Guardian, (2013), 'Jindhal Splashes P16bn on MEP' Gaborone, Botswana

Botswana Institute for Development Policy Analysis and World Bank, (2006), Prospects for Export Diversification in Botswana, Gaborone, Impression House

Congress of the United States Congressional Budget Office, (2012), 'Federal Efforts to Reduce the Cost of Capturing and Storing Carbon Dioxide', Congress of the United States Congressional Budget Office.

Eberhard, A., Foster, V., Briceño-Garmendia, C., Ouedraogo, F., Camos, D., and Shkaratan, M., (2008), 'Underpowered: The State of the Power Sector in Sub-Saharan Africa,' Background Paper 6, Africa Infrastructure Country Diagnostic (AICD), World Bank, Washington D.C.

Eberhard, A., Rosnes, O., Shkaratan, M and, Vennemo, H., (2011), 'Africa's Power Infrastructure: Investment, Integration, Efficiency,' World Bank, Washington D.C.

Ebinger, C., Massy, K., and Avasarala, G., (2012), 'Evaluating the Prospects for Increased Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas from the United States: An Interim Report,' Brookings Institution, United States

Energy Sector Management Assistance Program and South Asia Regional Cooperation Program (2008), 'Potential and Prospects for Regional Energy Trade in the South in the South Asia Region, Formal Report 334/08', World Bank, Washington, D.C.

Environmental Protection Agency (2014), 'Clean Power Plan Proposed Rule,' [online, http://www2. epa.gov/carbon-pollution-standards/clean-power-plan-proposed-rule, accessed 16/6/2014].

Gippner, O. (2010), Energy Cooperation in South Asia: Prospects and Challenges. Discussion Paper. VIII+54. Kathmandu: South Asia Watch on Trade, Economics and Environment (SAWTEE)

Government of Botswana, (1999), Mines and Mineral Act, Gaborone, Government Printer

Government of Botswana, (2003), 'National Development Plan 9 (2003/2004-2008/09), Gaborone, Government Printer.

Government of Botswana, (2010), 'National Development Plan 10 (2009/2010-2015/16), Gaborone, Government Printer.

Government of Botswana, (2011), 'Environmental Assessment Act, Gaborone, Government Printer

Government of Botswana, (2013), 'National Development Plan 10 Midterm Review,' Gaborone, Government Printer.

Grynberg, R. (2012), 'Coal Exports and the Diversification of Botswana's Economy', BIDPA Publication Series, Botswana Institute for Development Policy Analysis, Botswana

Haffejee, Y., (2013), 'Renewable Energy IPP Program, South Africa,' Presentation made at the IRENA Renewable Energy Policies Workshop, 12th January, 2013, Abu Dhabi.

Infrastructure Consortium for Africa (ICA, (2011), 'Regional Power Status in African Power Pools Report', African Development Bank.

International Energy Agency (2013), 'Technology Roadmap: Carbon Capture and Storage', [online, http://www.iea.org/publication/freepublications/publication/name,39359,en.html, accessed 4/2/2014].

International Renewable Energy Agency (IRENA) (2012), 'Prospects for the African Power Sector: Scenarios and Strategies for Africa Project'.

International Renewable Energy Agency (IRENA), (2011), 'Prospects for the African Power Sector: Scenarios and Strategies for Africa Project, Working Paper.

KPMG (2012), 'Global Infrastructure-Africa: Sub-Saharan Africa Power Outlook,' KPMG, Johannesburg

Mbirimi, I. (2010), 'Regional Energy Security Dynamics in Southern Africa: Electricity Mixes in the Context of Global Climate Change Mitigation Pressures,' Series on Trade and Energy Security-Policy Report 5, Trade Knowledge Network

Ministry of Energy, Minerals and Water Resources (2011), An Overview of the Botswana's Coal Resources and Future Plans, The Coal Road Map Pitso, Gaborone, Botswana

Mackenzie, W., (2011), 'Coal Roadmap – Final Report', Unpublished Consultancy Report, Gaborone

National Energy Board, (2008), 'Coal-Fired Power Generation: A Perspective,' Energy Briefing Note, Canada

Parker, L. and Folger, P. (2010), 'Capturing CO2 from Coal-Fired Power Plants: Challenges for a Comprehensive Strategy

Pegels, A., (2009), 'Prospects for Renewable Energy in South Africa: Mobilizing the private sector,' Discussion Paper 23/2009, German Development Institute.

Peršut, M.D., Hudges, A., Fedorski, C., Merven, B., Trikam, A., Duerinck, J., Ferket, H., and Lust, A. (2011), Techno-economic assessment of carbon capture and storage deployment in power stations in the Southern African and Balkan Regions, Energetski Institute Hrvoje Pozar and World Bank

Southern African Development Community (1996), 'Southern African Development Community: Protocol on Energy', [online, http://www.sadc.int/english/key-documents/protocols/protocol-on-energy, accessed, 11/9/2012]

Trade Mark Southern Africa and COMESA-EAC-SADC Tripartite (2012), Regional Solutions to Providing Electricity in the COMESA-EAC-SADC Tripartite Region, TMSA Update/July 2012

UN-Energy/Africa (2008), 'Energy for Sustainable Development: Policy Options for Africa,' UN-ENERGY/Africa

OTHER BIDPA WORKING PAPERS

Working Paper No. 38: Lekobane, K.R. and Seleka, T.B. (2014) Determinants of Household Welfare and Poverty in Botswana, 2002/03 and 2009/10

Working Paper No. 37: Seleka T.B. and Lekobane, K.R. (2014) Public Transfers and Subsistence Producer Disincentives in Botswana.

Working Paper No. 36: Haye, Haile.(2013) *Inflation Dynamics in Botswana and Bank of Botswana's Medium-Term Objective Range*

Working Paper No. 35: Roman, Grynberg and Kedibonye Sekakela. (2013) Water pricing and Policy in Botswana

Working Paper No.34: Masedi Motswapong and Roman Grynberg. (2013) Key Issues in the Textile and Clothing Sector in Botswana

Working Paper No.33: Haye, Haile. (2013) Is the Botsmana Pula misaligned?

Working Paper No. 32: Roman Grynberg and Masedi Motswapong. (2012) SACU Revenue Sharing Formula: Towards a Development Agreement

Working Paper No. 31: Masedi Motswapong and Roman Grynberg. (2011) Competition and Trade Policy: The case of the Botswana poultry industry

Working Paper No. 30: Mmopelwa, David and Seleka, Tebogo. (2011) Factors Underlying Communal Beef Cattle Marketing Decisions in Botswana: The role of public and private transfers

Working Paper No. 29: Lekobane, Khafelo and Seleka, Tebogo. (2011) "Do Public Transfers Discourage Farmer Participation in Subsistence Crop Production? Empirical Evidence from Botswana

Working Paper No. 28: Taye, Haile. (2011) Botswana's Debt sustainability: Tracking the Path

Working Paper No. 27: Seleka, Tebogo. (2005) Challenges for Agricultural diversification in Botswana under the proposed SADC-EU Economic Partnership Agreement (EPA)

Working Paper No. 26: Seleka, Tebogo. (2005) Welfare impacts of export controls in Botswana's horticulture

Working Paper No. 25: Moepeng, Pelotshweu. (2003) *The role of Macroeconomic Policy towards food security in Botswana*

Working Paper No. 24: Pelontle Montle. (2002) The African Growth and Opportunity Act. A preliminary analysis of the possible opportunities and limitations to Botswana's Exporters

Working Paper No. 23: Kgakge, Grace Goitsemodimo. (2002) Determinants of non-mining private investment in Botswana



Botswana Institute for Development Policy Analysis (BIDPA) BIDPA House, International Finance Park Plot 134, Tshwene Drive, Kgale View Private Bag BR-29 Gaborone, Botswana Tel: 267 3971750, Fax: 267 3971748 URL: http://www.bidpa.bw, E-mail: webmaster@bidpa.bw